



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

a
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,556	10/11/2005	Nigel Dunn-Coleman	GC781-2-US	8864

7590 01/04/2008
Genencor International Inc
925 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1013

EXAMINER

SAIDHA, TEKCHAND

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1652

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/04/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/530,556	Applicant(s) DUNN-COLEMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Tekchand Saidha	Art Unit 1652	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 April 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. Claims 1-38 are pending.
2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17 & 19-20, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 3 encoding β - glucosidase of SEQ ID NO: 2, vector, host cell and recombinant method of making the β -glucosidase

Group II, claim(s) 18, 21 & 25, drawn to β -glucosidase of SEQ ID NO: 2 or that purified by the method of claim 19.

Group III, claim(s) 22, drawn to recombinant host cell comprising a deletion, insertion or alteration in the *bgl6* gene which inactivates the gene and prevents BGL6 polypeptide production.

Group IV, claim(s) 23-24, drawn to an antisense oligonucleotide complementary to a messenger RNA that encodes a BGL6 polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, wherein upon exposure to a β -glucosidase-producing host cell, said oligonucleotide decreases or inhibits the production of β -glucosidase by said host cell.

Group V, claim(s) 26-27, drawn to method of improving the characteristics of a yeast dough or baked good using β -glucosidase of claim 18.

Group VI, claim(s) 28, drawn to method of expressing a heterologous polypeptide having β -glucosidase activity in an *Aspergillus* species, comprising – providing a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric polypeptide.

Group VII, claim(s) 29-33, drawn to a method for producing ethanol by contacting a biomass composition with “ β -glucosidase 4”.

Group VIII, claim(s) 34-38, drawn to a method for producing ethanol by contacting a biomass composition with “ β -glucosidase”.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: The technical feature linking Groups I-VIII appears to be that they all relate to β -glucosidase enzyme or the DNA encoding the same. According to the international preliminary examination report [IPER] claim 28 lack novelty as being anticipated by Li et al. USP 6,184,018 (or Fowler et al. USP 6,002,725). Instant claim 1(h), recite a nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 3, or a fragment thereof. Such a claim would read on di or tri nucleotides. Eye balling of Li et al. cDNA sequence encoding β -glucosidase shown in Table 1 (See column 23-25) reveal several such nucleotide matches between Applicants SEQ ID NO: 3 and Li's sequence. The reference anticipates the claim. Accordingly, Groups I-VIII share no special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Furthermore, the products of Groups I-IV do not share a special common structural or functional feature while, the methods of Groups V-VIII do not use the same reagents or produce the same results. In addition, the methods of Groups V-VIII do not comprise all of the methods for making or using the products of Groups I-IV. Accordingly, Groups I-VIII are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tekchand Saidha whose telephone number is (571) 272 0940. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am - 5.00 pm.

Application/Control Number:
10/530,556
Art Unit: 1652

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (571) 272 0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Tekchand Saidha
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652
Recombinant Enzymes, E02A65 Remsen Bld.
400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA
Telephone: (571) 272-0940
January 2, 2008